

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/036,335	MAGNI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Angela Ortiz	1732	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Angela Ortiz.

(3) _____.

 (2) Atty. M. Taylor.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2004
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

8 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Exr made a proposed Exr amdt to make minor changes to claims 8 and 14 to clarify what was previously indicated allowable in the previous office action; it was agreed to cancel claim 9; the amdt was approved and the case passed to issue branch.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)